

Tree officer comments -20/00871/FUL

From: Matthew Stubbings

Sent: 09 October 2020 14:18

To: Development Management

Cc: Niamh Bonner

Subject: RE: Planning Application 20/00871/FUL

Hi

Having considered the submitted documents and plans I am of the view that there are no major implications to trees. I note that the drawing 1764.2B indicates the removal of (what appears from streetview) to be a small Lilac tree. I have no objections to the removal of this tree to facilitate the development subject to a landscaping condition which should include the location of the proposed new dividing hedge and also specimen tree planting. There is scope for a something like Lime or Hornbeam to be planted at the front by Malton Road, something like Silver Birch or Mountain Ash to the rear of the property that will bound 23 Malton Road and 3 Crossgate Lane.

The proposed tree protection is not in line with BS5837 specifications, particularly with regard to the positioning of the fencing (which should be a radius calculated by multiplying a minimum of 12 x the stem diameter at 1.5m above ground level) - since there is no tree report I doubt that proposed detail on p3 Drawing 1764.6AA is based on this. Can they please check this and provide an amended plan so that I can check to see whether the proposed properties are outside the root protection areas and also to ensure retained trees are suitably protected. The retained tree located towards the front of the property (adjacent to Malton Road) does not appear to have any tree protection indicated on p3 Drawing 1764.6AA. This tree would require protection. If Chestnut Paling is used for the fencing (rather than harras fencing) then I would request that we condition the height to be a minimum of 1.2m. Also, no storage of materials within the RPAs etc.

Thanks

Matt